Sequence design for progressive dies

Part II: FE-based design methodology

Editor's Note: This is Part II of a two-part series on progressive die sequence design. Part I, which appeared in the January issue, covered using finite element methods (FEM).

This column was prepared by the staff of the Engineering Research Center for Net Shape Manufacturing (ERC/NSM), The Ohio State University, Professor Taylor Altan, director.

Design rules obtained from an initial finite element analysis (FEA) developed the process sequence for a new automotive part (see Figure 1a). Some critical design guidelines developed were:

- In the initial forming stages, higher draw ratios maximized wall thinning below a threshold value of 13 percent for the existing automotive part (see Figure 1b).
- Punch corner radii and die corner radii also were critical parameters in the initial forming stages. The die corner radius (approximately four times the incoming sheet thickness) was selected based on the sheet thickness. The punch corner radius was chosen based on the die corner radius (approximately equal to or less than the corresponding die corner radius).

FEA of the New Part

These design guidelines and others from die design handbooks helped to develop the progressive-die sequence for the new automotive part (see Figure 1). Figure 2 illustrates the process in sequence to determine the optimal design parameters for each forming stage using commercial FEA code DEFORM™-2D software (www.deform.com).

Critical parameters calculated during the die sequence design phase were:

- Initial blank dimensions. The approximate blank dimensions from the final part shape were calculated using volume constancy.
- Blank holder force. At each forming stage, blank holder force was estimated as the minimum blank holder force required to eliminate the formation of flange wrinkles.
- Optimal punch and die dimensions and draw depth. Punch diameter and drawing depth have the most influence on wall thinning. FE simulations varying the limiting draw ratios and the punch diameter were conducted. Combinations of punch diameters and draw depths were selected by minimizing part thinning below an established threshold value at each forming stage.

Once the optimal punch diameter was found, FE simulations using different punch corner and die corner radii sets were conducted. Also in these simulations, the ratio of punch corner radius to die corner radius was kept to less than one based on guidelines obtained from die design investigation of the existing automotive part.

The most important output from FEM simulations was the location of the part's maximum thinning. Design engineers used this information to select punch diameters for subsequent pro-

![Figure 1a](image1.png) A process sequence needed to be designed for this new automotive part.

![Figure 1b](image2.png) An FEA was conducted on an existing automotive part in production to determine the process sequence for the new part.

Note: Initial blank thickness: 2.08 ±0.04 mm
Material: AISI 1008
Illustration courtesy of Pax Machine Works, Celina, Ohio.

![Figure 2](image3.png) A flow chart was created to show the steps for determining parameters for each forming stage.

duction stages. In subsequent forming stages, determining the punch diameter prevented the punch from striking the part at its maximum thinning location. Successive strikers in the area of maximum thinning would result in significant wall thinning. Figure 3 shows the geometry and the effective strain (thickness) distribution predicted by the FEA for forming the new automotive part.

**Comparing FEM, Experience-based Methods**

Figure 4 compares punch diameters and thickness distributions as predicted by the FE-based method and experience-based approach. The FE-based method predicted 10 forming stages, while the experience-based approach predicted nine forming stages.

The change in punch diameters in subsequent forming stages predicted by the FE-based method is gradual, while larger punch diameter reductions were observed in the experience-based approach. Thickness distributions obtained from these two designs showed that gradual change in punch diameter resulted in a more uniform wall thickness distribution in the final stages. The comparison of punch corner and die corner radii change for various stages showed a similar trend for both the FEM-assisted and experience-based approaches.

**What We Learned**

This study demonstrated that integrating computer-aided engineering (CAE) with the experience of tool designers who understand the process can reduce sequence development time. CAE also can help speed up the process of designing geometrically complex parts that normally require a lot of trial and error.

With process simulation, critical attributes such as strain (thickness) distribution, stress distribution, material flow, and forming defects can be estimated easily. This knowledge enhances the design capability of an experienced process designer and reduces the number of die tryouts.

The use of FEM further refines die design so that product attributes such as wall thickness tolerances can be improved. To make FEM a practical too for designing progressive and transfer dies in the stamping industry, close cooperation between experienced die designers and FEM engineers is key.
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**Notes**

3. K. Lange, Handbook of Metal Forming.

**Figure 4**

These graphs compare reduction in punch diameters (left) and final thickness distribution (right) predicted by the FEM-based approach and the experience-based approach.